

United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/884,828	06/18/2001	Pierre P. Repper	932-CAL	2484	
26542	7590 10/18/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
JAMES MARC LEAS 37 BUTLER DRIVE			CLARKE, SARA SACHIE		
	ON, VT 05403		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3749		

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			(I / V \
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	V
Advisory Action	09/884,828	REPPER ET AL.	
, and y , and a	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sara Clarke	3749	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence ado	lress
THE REPLY FILED 30 September 2004 FAILS TO P Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Ap Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	o avoid abandonment of this app : (1) a timely filed amendment w peal (with appeal fee); or (3) a ti	olication. A proper re which places the appli	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W. 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	r than SIX MONTHS from the mailing dat AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF T date on which the petition under 37 CFR	e of the final rejection. THE FINAL REJECTION. 1.136(a) and the appropriat	See MPEP
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorte (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ned statutory period for reply originally set	in the final Office action; or	(2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a)).			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	d because:		
(a) \boxtimes they raise new issues that would require fu	rther consideration and/or searc	h (see NOTE below);	
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Not	e below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the applicationissues for appeal; and/or	on in better form for appeal by m	naterially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without can	celing a corresponding number of	of finally rejected clai	ms.
NOTE: By way of example, the proposed an limitation of "by other than a dimension." The added limitation was not previously considered	e combination of elements of pro	posed claim 58 includ	ding this newly
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	jection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>20 and 21</u> amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(in a separate, timely	filed
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because:		onsidered but does No	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed SOLE	LY to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	vs:		
Claim(s) allowed: 1-13,15-17,22-57 and 61-83.			
Claim(s) objected to: 20 and 21.		•	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>58-60</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) a	approved or b) disapproved l	by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure States	ment(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. Other:		0.01.0	
<u> </u>		Sara Clarke Primary Examiner	
		Art Unit: 3749	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)